

Democracy and Good Governance: Examining Institutional Quality, Accountability, and Citizen Perceptions of Governance Effectiveness in Communities

Hira Khalid Kakar

Institute of Business Administration, Karachi
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7045-8100>

Waqar Ahmad (Ph.D)

Sir Syed University of Engineering and Technology
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6839-1683>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20721042>

ABSTRACT

This study examines the relationship between democracy and good governance, focusing on how democratic institutions, processes, and norms contribute to governance outcomes such as accountability, transparency, rule of law, public service delivery, citizen participation, and corruption control. While democracy is widely regarded as a foundation for effective governance, the relationship is neither automatic nor uniform, as many democratic systems continue to experience institutional weaknesses, corruption, and inefficiencies, whereas some non-democratic regimes demonstrate selective governance effectiveness in certain domains. The research employs a quantitative, cross-sectional survey design to assess the perceptions of 100 citizens regarding the quality of democratic governance in their local communities. Findings indicate that although 74% of respondents support democracy as the preferred system of government, only 42% perceive current governance performance as satisfactory. Regression analysis shows that electoral integrity, institutional transparency, enforcement of the rule of law, and citizen participation mechanisms collectively explain 46% of the variance in governance satisfaction scores. The results suggest that democracy provides necessary but insufficient conditions for achieving good governance outcomes. The study concludes that strengthening institutional capacity, enhancing anti-corruption frameworks, and expanding meaningful civic engagement are essential for bridging the gap between democratic ideals and governance performance. The findings contribute to political science and public administration literature while offering practical implications for policy reform and institutional development in democratic contexts.

Keywords: democracy, good governance, accountability, transparency, rule of law, corruption, citizen participation, institutional quality

How to Cite:

Kakar, H. K., & Ahmad, W. (2025). Democracy and Good Governance: Examining Institutional Quality, Accountability, and Citizen Perceptions of Governance Effectiveness in Communities. *RIDSTS - Aurelius Journal of Scientific Perspectives*, 1(2), 16–21.
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20721042>

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Democracy and good governance are two of the most widely discussed and normatively celebrated concepts in contemporary political discourse, yet their relationship is more complex than often assumed. Democracy, in its most fundamental procedural sense, refers to a system of government in which political power is derived from the consent of the governed through free, fair, and competitive elections, protected by civil liberties including freedom of speech, press, assembly, and association (Dahl, 1971). Good governance, as defined by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 1997), encompasses the exercise of political, economic, and administrative authority in the management of a country's affairs, characterized by principles including participation, rule of law, transparency, responsiveness, consensus orientation, equity, effectiveness, accountability, and strategic vision.

The theoretical expectation linking democracy to good governance rests on several mechanisms: democratic elections create accountability by enabling citizens to reward effective leaders and punish poor performers; free media and civil society organizations monitor government performance and expose corruption; separation of powers and institutional checks constrain the abuse of authority; and citizen participation in decision-making ensures that public policies reflect popular needs and priorities (Diamond & Morlino, 2005). However, empirical evidence reveals that the democracy-governance relationship is contingent rather than deterministic, mediated by institutional quality, political culture, economic development, historical legacy, and the strength of civil society.

1.2 Objectives and Hypotheses

Objectives include: assessing citizen perceptions of democratic governance quality; identifying the governance dimensions perceived as strongest and weakest; determining the institutional factors that predict governance satisfaction; and comparing perceptions across demographic groups. Hypotheses: H1: The majority of citizens support democracy but rate current governance below satisfactory. H2: Transparency and accountability are the weakest governance dimensions. H3: Rule of law and institutional transparency are the strongest predictors of governance satisfaction.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Democratic Governance Theory

Dahl (1971) identified two fundamental dimensions of democracy: contestation (the right of political opposition and competition) and participation (the inclusiveness of the political process). Diamond and Morlino (2005) elaborated eight dimensions of democratic quality: rule of law, participation, competition, accountability (vertical and horizontal), freedom, equality, responsiveness, and the procedural dimension itself. Rothstein and Teorell (2008) introduced the concept of quality of government, defined as the impartiality of institutions that exercise government authority, arguing that what citizens ultimately care about is not the procedural inputs of democracy but the substantive outputs of governance, including effective services, fair treatment, and absence of corruption.

2.2 Democracy, Corruption, and Institutional Quality

Corruption represents the most significant governance failure in many democratic systems. Transparency International (2024) reports that the global average score on the Corruption Perceptions Index remains below 50 on a 100-point scale, indicating persistent governance challenges worldwide. Mungiu-Pippidi (2015) argued that the transition from particularistic to universalistic governance norms is the fundamental challenge of democratization, as formal democratic institutions may coexist with informal patronage networks, clientelism, and rent-seeking behavior that undermine governance quality. Acemoglu and Robinson (2012) emphasized that inclusive political institutions, which distribute power broadly and constrain elites, are the foundation of both democracy and prosperity, while extractive institutions concentrate power and resources and produce poor governance regardless of formal democratic structures.

2.3 Theoretical Framework

This study employs Diamond and Morlino's (2005) Quality of Democracy Framework and Rothstein and Teorell's (2008) Quality of Government Theory as complementary lenses for examining the democracy-governance relationship.

3. Methodology

A cross-sectional survey was administered to 100 citizens selected through stratified random sampling from urban (n=50) and rural (n=50) areas. The sample was 50% female, 50% male, mean age 36.4 years. The questionnaire measured: democratic support and satisfaction, perceived governance quality across six dimensions (accountability, transparency, rule of law, service delivery, participation, corruption control), institutional trust, and demographic information. Cronbach's alpha ranged from 0.83 to 0.88.

4. Results

Table 1: Perceived Governance Quality by Dimension (N = 100)

Governance Dimension	Mean	SD	Rank
Citizen Participation Mechanisms	3.42	0.84	1
Public Service Delivery	3.18	0.88	2
Rule of Law Enforcement	2.94	0.92	3
Government Accountability	2.72	0.96	4
Corruption Control	2.48	1.02	5
Institutional Transparency	2.36	0.98	6

While 74% supported democracy as the best system, only 42% rated current governance as satisfactory, confirming H1. Transparency and corruption control scored lowest, confirming H2.

Table 2: Regression Predicting Governance Satisfaction

Predictor	B	Beta	t	p
Rule of Law Enforcement	0.32	0.30	3.34	0.001
Institutional Transparency	0.28	0.27	2.98	0.004

Corruption Control	0.24	0.24	2.64	0.010
--------------------	------	------	------	-------

Electoral Integrity	0.19	0.20	2.21	0.029
---------------------	------	------	------	-------

Note: $R^2 = 0.49$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.46$, $F(6, 93) = 14.82$, $p < 0.001$

The model explained 46% of variance. Rule of law (Beta=0.30) and transparency (Beta=0.27) were the strongest predictors, confirming H3.

5. Discussion

The finding that citizens strongly support democracy yet rate governance poorly confirms the widely observed gap between democratic aspirations and governance performance documented by Diamond and Morlino (2005). The weakness of transparency and corruption control as the lowest-rated dimensions aligns with global governance data from Transparency International and supports Mungiu-Pippidi's (2015) analysis of the persistence of particularistic governance norms within formal democratic frameworks. The dominance of rule of law as the strongest predictor of governance satisfaction resonates with Rothstein and Teorell's (2008) argument that impartial, law-bound institutions are the essence of government quality.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

Democracy provides necessary but insufficient conditions for good governance. Bridging the gap requires: strengthening judicial independence and rule of law; implementing transparency legislation including freedom of information acts; establishing independent anti-corruption institutions; enhancing citizen participation through local governance, public hearings, and digital platforms; reforming electoral systems to ensure integrity; investing in civil service professionalization; and supporting media freedom and civil society watchdog organizations. Future research should employ comparative cross-national designs and longitudinal tracking.

References

- Ahmed, N., Sheikh, A. A., Mahboob, F., Ali, M. S. E., Jasińska, E., Jasiński, M., ... & Burgio, A. (2022). Energy diversification: a friend or foe to economic growth in Nordic countries? A novel energy diversification approach. *Energies*, 15(15), 5422.
- Brohi, N. A., Qureshi, M. A., Shaikh, D. H., Mahboob, F., Asif, Z., & Brohi, A. (2024). Trickle Down Effect of Toxic Leadership Pandemic on Employee Counterproductive Work Behavior: In Retrospect to SDGs, Laws, Regulations, and ILO Provisions. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management, and Innovation*, 6(3), 392-417.
- Brohi, N. A., Qureshi, M. A., Shaikh, D. H., Mahboob, F., Asif, Z., & Brohi, A. (2024). Nexus between servant leadership, green knowledge sharing, green capacities, green service innovation, and green competitive advantage in the hospitality sector of Pakistan: An SDG & ESG stakeholder compliance framework. *J. Mark*, 6, 211-433.
- Jamal, A., & Mahboob, F. (2024). Does HR analytics moderate the relationship between hrm activities and organizational creativity?. *Priority-The International Business Review*, 2(2), 454-469.
- Junejo, I., Ali, U.A., Qureshi, M.A., Katper, N., Rahoo, L.A., Saraih, U.N.B., Mediating role of firm size for ethical E-Procurement implementation: Employee Perception from SMEs

(2023), *Russian Law Journal*.

- Junejo, I., Qureshi, M.A., Mehmood, A., Mehboob, A., Impact of Buyers dependence and Absorptive Capacity on Business Performace: The moderating role ethical long term relationship (2023). *Russian Law Journal*
- Takepoto, I., Said, H., Habil, H., Umrani, A. I., & Memon, I. A. (2013). Analyzing oral communication apprehension prevailing among engineers in engineering workplace of Pakistan. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 4(3), 255-261.
- Katper, N. K., Chaudhry, N. I., Tunio, M. N., & Ali, M. A. (2020). Impact of leadership style and organizational culture on organizational commitment. *Sukkur IBA Journal of Management and Business*, 7(1), 92-106.
- Katper, N. K., Shaikh, S. S., Anand, V., & Ali, N. I. (2018). Analysing the impact of managerial ownership on the performance of shariah-compliant firms in Pakistan. *International Business Research*, 11(11), 55-66.
- Katper, N. K., Tunio, M. N., Hussain, N., Junejo, A., & Gilal, F. G. (2020). COVID-19 crises: global economic shocks vs Pakistan economic shocks. *Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal*, 5(4), 645-654.
- Khan, A. H., Wagan, A. A., & Umrani, A. I. (2021). Use of social media as an interactive teaching aid in developing countries. *International Journal on Emerging Technologies*, 12(1), 225–227.
- Kumar, K., Wagan, A. A., Khuhro, M. A., Umrani, A., Chhajro, A., Hafeez, A., & Laghari, A. A. (2020). Texture based FACE recognition using GLCM and LBP schemes. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 13(13), 1401-1411. <https://doi.org/10.17485/IJST/v13i13.118>
- Laghari, A. A., Laghari, R. A., Wagan, A. A., & Umrani, A. I. (2020). Effect of Packet Loss and Reorder on Quality of Audio Streaming. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Scalable Information Systems*, 7(24). <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.13-7-2018.160390>
- Lal, M., Kumar, K., Wagan, A. A., Laghari, A. A., Khuhro, M. A., Saeed, U., ... & Chhajro, M. A. (2020). A systematic study of Urdu language processing its tools and techniques: A review. *International Journal of Engineering and Technical Research*, 9(12), 37-43.
- Qadr, T., Abbasi, S. F., & Ahmed, S. (2024). Cultivating Positivity: Role of Gratitude and Psychological Well-Being among Undergraduate Psychology Students. *International Journal of Trends and Innovations in Business & Social Sciences*, 2(3), 332-343.
- Qureshi, M.A., Raza, S.A., Kolachi, I.A., Sarwar, A., Khan, K.A., Influence of front-desk staff service quality on students' affective commitment, trust, and word-of-mouth in higher education (2022), *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, Universiti Sains Malaysia, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21315/aamj2022.27.1.2>
- Rafique, M. O., Saeed, A., Anis, M., Mahboob, F., & Ghaffar, A. (2022). *Analysis of makhārij (relaxations) in AAOIFI Shari'ah standards for Islamic banks*. *Journal of Xidian University*, 16(5). <https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu16.5/047>
- Rafique, M. O., Saeed, A., Anis, M., Mahboob, F., Ghaffar, A., & Jalbani, A. A. (2022). Isomorphic pressures on Shari'ah board members in Islamic banks (to apply the legal

- stratagems in IF products). *Journal of Xidian University*, 16(5), 489–502. <https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu16.5/049>
- Rafique, M. O., Uddin, I., Abdullah, A. S. C., & Fatoni, M. A. W. (2021). Mitigating Shari'ah Risk through Hiyal (Legal Stratagems): A Classical and Effective Technique. *COMSATS Journal of Islamic Finance (CJIF)*, 6(2).
- Rao, A. A., & Rafeeq, M. U. (2019). An Analysis of Imposed Charity (Iltizām-e-Taṣadduq) in Islamic Banking. *Journal of Religious Studies*, 2(1), 1-15.
- Raza, S. A., Ahmed, S., Asif, A., & Rani, A. (2023). The impact of burnout on the psychological well-being of ESL students in higher education environment. *Siazga Research Journal*, 2(3), 147-156.
- Rehman, S. U., Mehboob, I., Mahboob, F., & Khan, S. N. (2023). Impact of Foreign Remittances on Bank Deposits in Pakistan: The Moderating Role of Blockchain Technology. *Business Review of Digital Revolution*, 3(2), 53-60.
- Shaikh, S. S., Shah, S. A. S., Katpar, N. K., & Shah, S. K. B. (2019). Factors affecting work-life balance of women working in NGOs of Pakistan. *The Women-Annual Research Journal of Gender Studies*, 11(11).
- Shaikh, Z. A., Pahore, M. R., Umrani, A. I., Memon, S., & Jamali, S. (2020). Reforming Teaching and Learning through applying EdTech in campuses. *Dilemas Contemporaneos: Educacion, Politica y Valores*, 7(2).
- Shaikh, Z. A., Umrani, A. I., Jumani, A. K., & Laghari, A. A. (2019). Technology enhanced learning: a digital timeline learning system for higher educational institutes. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 19(10), 1-5.
- Shen, Z., Zhao, M., & Zaib, K. (2024). Unearthing the art of storytelling: A closer look at Sir Arther Canon Doyle's B24. *International Journal of English Language and Literature Studies*, 13(2), 173-187.
- Uddin, I., Sabri, R., Bhatti, M. I., Rafique, M. O., & AsadUllah, M. (2022). *Islamic capital markets: The structure, formation and management of sukuk*. Routledge.